

Multiplication of Teak (*Tectona grandis*) by Using Stem Cuttings and Sapling Cuttings: Effect of Indole Butyric Acid and Roocta Commercial Hormone

K.H.G. KORALA¹, S.H. BANDUMALA² and W.A.S. LAKMALI¹

¹Department of Plantation Management, Faculty of Agriculture and Plantation Management, Wayamba University of Sri Lanka, Makandura, Gonawila (NWP), 60170, Sri Lanka

²Forest Research Center, Kumbalpol, Boyagane, Kurunegala, 60027, Sri Lanka

ABSTRACT

Teak (*Tectona grandis*) is an important plantation tree species in the tropics. Teak seeds have poor germination ability. Therefore, an alternative method is required for mass multiplication of teak trees. The study was performed to explore multiplication techniques of teak by using sapling cuttings (C₁) and stem cuttings (C₂). Cuttings were planted in order to test three treatments, distilled water (H₁), 500 ppm Indole Butyric Acid (H₂) and Roocta commercial hormone (H₃). The cuttings were dipped in Indole Butyric Acid solution for 24 h before planting. Roocta commercial hormone was applied in its powder form just before plant. Sand (M₁) and sand: top soil: compost; 1:1:1 (M₂) two rooting media were used. Pencil sized 4 cm length cuttings were used for experimental planting. All the cutting types were raised inside a protected house. Treatments were arranged with Three Factor Factorial Design with three replicates. The cuttings were removed from the rooting medium 60 days after establishment. Observations were recorded on several parameters. H₃ recorded the highest values for number of leaves, maximum leaf length and maximum leaf area. The highest number of leaves was recorded in C₁ cutting type. The highest number of shoots were recorded in C₁M₁H₁ interaction. The highest shoot length was recorded in M₂H₃ interaction. The highest number of callus were recorded in M₁H₁ interaction, M₁C₁ interaction and C₁H₁ interactions. Therefore sapling cutting (C₁), sand media (M₁) and Roocta hormone (H₃) can be used for vegetative propagation of teak to get higher growth.

KEYWORDS: Multiplication techniques, Sapling cuttings, Stem cuttings, *Tectona grandis*

INTRODUCTION

Teak (*Tectona grandis*) is a highly valuable and most demanded timber producing tree of the tropics suitable for monoculture planting. Higher demand in national and international timber markets for teak wood is mainly due to superior physical and mechanical properties of timber. Teak has been introduced to Indonesia, Malaysia, Sri Lanka, Africa, South America, Central America and Australia, while it is widely distributed in natural forests in India, Myanmar, Laos and Thailand under different climatic conditions (Anon, 2012).

Increase the extent of teak plantations has been a vital necessity according to fulfill wood requirements of the current global population. This can be achieved with the improvement of the quality of planting stock, which gives more quality timber in shorter rotations. Although, teak seeds are abundant, natural regeneration is variable. As seeds have low viability up to 20-35% (Hedegart, 1974) Therefore, teak forests are generally regenerated artificially by seeds. Teak seeds are often affected by a number of eco-climatic factors such as hard pericarp which limits water and oxygen supply to seed, physiological immaturity of seed and chemical inhibitors present in the pericarp (Tewari, 1992).

Vegetative propagation can play a key role in tree improvement programs as a means of large scale multiplication of superior clones or tested plus trees. Vegetative propagation for teak was done by traditional methods and tissue cultural techniques (Akram and Aftab, 2009).

Vegetative propagation of *Tectona grandis* is not a common practice in Sri Lankan forest tree nurseries due to lack of knowledge on the performance of this practice as mass propagation technique.

Therefore, the objective of this study was to understand the individual as well as interactive effects of cutting types, media types and Indole Butyric Acid, Roocta treatments on early growth performances of teak seedlings. Furthermore, findings of this study to be usefulness in developing a technology for large scale multiplication of teak plants. The standardization of this research methodology would be of enormous benefit for teak planters and producers in various ways.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Location

The study was conducted at the Forest Research Center, Kumbalpol, Kurunegala from January to May 2016.

Layout of Experiment

Treatments were arranged in Three Factor Factorial Design with three replicates. There were three treatments. Eight plants per replicate planted in root trainers in order to test three different treatments. Further, two of media sand (M₁) and sand: top soil: compost; 1:1:1(M₂) were used to test their effect on growth performances.

Collection of Materials

Superior plus trees of teak were selected from a 14 year aged monoculture teak plantation located at Kumbalpol, for obtaining stem cuttings (C₂). The sapling cuttings (C₁) were taken from 3 year old saplings which germinate from seeds exclusively for the experiment.

Treatments

The control was dipped in distilled water (H₁) for 24 h before planting. All the other cutting types were treated with 500 ppm Indole Butyric Acid (H₂) and Roocta commercial hormone (H₃). Roocta hormone is a blend of auxin, Indole Butyric, Indole Acetic Acid and Naphthalene Acetic Acid. The cuttings were dipped in Indole Butyric Acid solution for 24 h before they plant. Roocta was applied in its powder form just before plant as the third treatment method.

Establishment of Cuttings

Pencil sized 4 cm length cuttings were used for experimental planting. Slant cut was made at the bottom of each cutting in order to increase the surface area for rooting. All the cutting types were raised in root trainers in a mist chamber at 36/30 °C (day/night) and 85±2% relative humidity with insect proof UV treated polythene roof. pH was maintained at 7 for better results.

Measurements of Growth Parameters

Therefore, the cuttings were carefully removed from the rooting medium 60 days after the establishment. Earlier trials had shown that, rooting of teak cuttings can be measured after 60 day. Observations were recorded on following growth parameters.

Number of Shoots

The number of shoots on a cutting was counted.

Number of Leaves

The number of leaves on the cutting was counted.

Leaf Length (cm)

The length of the leaf was recorded from the tip of the leaf to the base of the leaf.

Leaf Area (cm²)

The total leaf area was measured using grid method.

Shoot Length (cm)

The length of the shoot was recorded from the end of the shoot to the base of the cutting.

Formation of Callus

Callus formation was recorded at the base of the stem cutting.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed by using Analysis of variance (ANOVA) with MINITAB 17 statistical package.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 illustrates the effect of individual experimental conditions and their interactive effect on predetermined growth parameters of young teak seedlings.

Growth Parameters**Number of Shoots**

Table 2 shows average number of shoots in different treatment combinations. Average number of shoots ranged from 0.5 to 1.75 and the highest average number of shoots (1.75) were recorded in C₁M₁H₁ combination. The lowest average number of shoots (0.5) were recorded in C₁M₁H₂ combination. Further, according to the p values of Table 1, cutting type, treatment and interaction effect of media and treatment combination, cutting type and treatment combination, media cutting type and treatment combination have effects on number of shoots (Table 2).

Number of Leaves

Cutting type and treatment have effects on number of leaves (Table 1). Table 3 shows effect of three different treatments on leaf area, leaf length and number of leaves of young teak plants. The highest mean number of leaves (2.93) were recorded in H₃ whereas the lowest mean number of leaves (0.86) were recorded in H₂ treatment. However, number of leaves in H₁ and H₃ were not significantly different (Table 3). Further, effect on cutting type on number of leaves shows in the Table 4. C₁ cutting type had the highest mean number of leaves (2.43) whereas C₂ cutting type had the lowest mean number of leaves (1.94).

Table 1. P values for leaf area, number of shoots, shoot length, number of leaves, leaf length and callus formation

| Source | Leaf area | Number of shoots | Shoot length | Number of leaves | Leaf length | Callus |
|------------------------------|-----------|------------------|--------------|------------------|-------------|--------|
| Media | 0.772 | 0.070 | 0.820 | 0.307 | 0.535 | 0.002* |
| Cutting type | 0.413 | 0.008* | 0.017* | 0.012* | 0.278 | 0.929 |
| Treatment | 0.000* | 0.000* | 0.000* | 0.000* | 0.000* | 0.000* |
| Media*Cutting type | 0.172 | 0.375 | 0.224 | 0.315 | 0.260 | 0.009* |
| Media*Treatment | 0.317 | 0.018* | 0.021* | 0.154 | 0.155 | 0.000* |
| Cutting type*Treatment | 0.417 | 0.017* | 0.057 | 0.543 | 0.124 | 0.000* |
| Media*Cutting type*Treatment | 0.356 | 0.001* | 0.336 | 0.124 | 0.452 | 0.202 |

*p values are significantly different at 0.05 level

Table 2. Mean number of shoots

| Cutting type | Media | Hormone | Mean number of shoots |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| C ₁ | M ₁ | H ₁ | 1.75 ^a |
| C ₁ | M ₁ | H ₂ | 0.5 ^b |
| C ₁ | M ₁ | H ₃ | 0.96 ^a |
| C ₁ | M ₂ | H ₁ | 1 ^c |
| C ₁ | M ₂ | H ₂ | 0.92 ^b |
| C ₁ | M ₂ | H ₃ | 1.21 ^{abd} |
| C ₂ | M ₁ | H ₁ | 1.17 ^{ab} |
| C ₂ | M ₁ | H ₂ | 1.08 ^{ab} |
| C ₂ | M ₁ | H ₃ | 1.36 ^{abd} |
| C ₂ | M ₂ | H ₁ | 0.63 ^{ad} |
| C ₂ | M ₂ | H ₂ | 0.58 ^{abd} |
| C ₂ | M ₂ | H ₃ | 0.63 ^{ac} |

Means in same superscript letters are not significantly different at 0.05 probability level. C₁- Sapling cutting, C₂-Stem cutting, M₁-Sand, M₂-Sand: top soil : compost : 1:1:1, H₁-Control, H₂-500 ppm IBA, H₃-Roocta commercial hormone

Leaf Length (cm)

Further, results reveal that, treatment has an effect on leaf length according to the p values in Table 1. According to the Table 3, the highest mean leaf length (4.09 cm) was recorded in H₃ and the lowest mean leaf length (0.78 cm) was recorded in H₂. Although, mean leaf length in H₁ and H₃ were not significantly different.

Leaf Area (cm²)

Based on the results of ANOVA test, treatment has an effect on leaf area (Table 1). H₃ treatment recorded the highest average leaf area (6.47 cm²) while the lowest average leaf area (1.09 cm²) was recorded in H₂ treatment. Values for H₁ and H₃ were not significantly different (Table 3).

Shoot Length (cm)

Cutting type, treatment, media and treatment interaction have effects on shoot length (Table 1). The highest shoot length (2.18 cm) was recorded in M₂H₃ combination and the lowest shoot length (0.38 cm) was recorded in M₁H₂ combination. Shoot lengths measured in M₁H₁, M₁H₃ and M₂H₃ combinations were not significantly different from each other (Table 6).

Callus Formation

Callus formation is important to root initiation. Therefore, different conditions and results are shown in the Table 5, 6 and 7. M₁H₁, M₁C₁, C₁H₁ interactions were recorded the highest callus formation for root initiations in both cutting types.

Table 3. Variation of leaf area, mean leaf length, mean number leaves in three different hormone levels

| Hormone | Mean of leaf area | Mean of leaf length | Mean number of leaves |
|----------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| H ₁ | 6.32 ^a | 3.37 ^a | 2.76 ^a |
| H ₂ | 1.09 ^b | 0.78 ^b | 0.86 ^b |
| H ₃ | 6.47 ^a | 4.09 ^a | 2.93 ^a |

Means in a column same superscript letters are not significantly different at 0.05 probability level. H₁-Control, H₂-500ppm IBA, H₃-Roocta hormone

Table 4. Variation of number of leaves in two types of cuttings

| Cutting type | Mean of number of leaves |
|----------------|--------------------------|
| C ₁ | 2.43 ^a |
| C ₂ | 1.94 ^b |

Means in a column same superscript letters are not significantly different at 0.05 probability level. C₁- Sapling cutting, C₂- Stem cutting

Table 5. Variation of callus formation in two types of cuttings grow in two growing media

| Media | Cutting type | Mean callus formation |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| M ₁ | C ₁ | 0.26 ^a |
| M ₁ | C ₂ | 0.19 ^a |
| M ₂ | C ₁ | 0.04 ^a |
| M ₂ | C ₂ | 0.11 ^{ab} |

Means in a column same superscript letters are not significantly different at 0.05 probability level. C₁ - Sapling cutting, C₂- Stem cutting, M₁- Sand, M₂-Sand: top soil: compost; 1:1:1

CONCLUSIONS

In this experiment, among three used hormones Roocta (H₃) recorded the highest value for number of leaves, leaf length and leaf

Table 6. Variation of average shoot length and callus formation in cuttings treated with two types of hormone and grow in two growing media

| Media | Hormone | Shoot length mean cm | Callus formation |
|----------------|----------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| M ₁ | H ₁ | 2.08 ^a | 0.46 ^a |
| M ₁ | H ₂ | 0.38 ^b | 0.04 ^b |
| M ₁ | H ₃ | 1.75 ^a | 0.19 ^b |
| M ₂ | H ₁ | 1.06 ^{ab} | 0.08 ^b |
| M ₂ | H ₂ | 0.45 ^b | 0 ^b |
| M ₂ | H ₃ | 2.18 ^a | 0.15 ^{bc} |

Means in a column same superscript letters are not significantly different at 0.05 probability level. M₁- Sand, M₂- Sand: top soil: Compost; 1:1:1, H₁- Control, H₂- 500ppm IBA, H₃- Rooceta commercial hormone

Table 7. Variation of callus formation in two types of cutting types treated with three hormone levels

| Cutting type | Hormone | Mean callus formation |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| C ₁ | H ₁ | 0.36 ^a |
| C ₁ | H ₂ | 0.04 ^a |
| C ₁ | H ₃ | 0.04 ^{ab} |
| C ₂ | H ₁ | 0.17 ^b |
| C ₂ | H ₂ | 0 ^a |
| C ₂ | H ₃ | 0.29 ^a |

Means in a column same superscript letters are not significantly different at 0.05 probability level. C₁- Sapling cutting, C₂- Stem cutting, H₁- Control, H₂- 500ppm IBA, H₃- Rooceta commercial hormone

area. The highest number of leaves was recorded in sapling cutting (C₁). The highest number of shoots were recorded in C₁M₁H₁ interaction. The highest shoot length recorded in M₂H₃ interaction. The highest number of callus were recorded in M₁H₁ interaction, M₁C₁

interaction and C₁H₁ interaction. Therefore, the findings of this study revealed that sapling cutting (C₁), sand media (M₁) and Rooceta hormone (H₃) can be used as preliminary guidelines for vegetative propagation of teak to get higher growth performances in young teak plants.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to express their sincere gratitude to Mrs. R.M.D. Alawathugoda, Chief Research Officer, Forest Research Center, Kumbalpola, Boyagane for her kind permission to conduct this research at Forest Research Center and all the staff members of Forest Research Center for their support. Thanks to Dr. N.R. Abeynayake, head of the Department of Agribusiness Management, Faculty of Agriculture and Plantation Management, Wayamba University of Sri Lanka, and Miss. S.T. Rathnayake for their guidance in statistical analysis.

REFERENCES

- Akram, M. and Aftab, F. (2009). An efficient method for clonal propagation and *in vitro* establishment of softwood shoots from epitomic buds of teak (*Tectona grandis*). *Forestry studies in China*, **11**, 105-110.
- Anon. (2012). Forest department, Sri Lanka. Available from: <http://www.forestdept.gov.lk.htm> (Accessed 29 March 2016).
- Hedegart, T. (1974). The teak improvement center: ten years after initiation. *Vanasarn*, **32**, 342-356.
- Tewari, D.N. (1992). *A monograph on teak* (*Tectona grandis* Linn.f). International Book Distributors, 1-4.